Fractional Supersymmetry As A Matrix Model

Ilham Benkaddour and El Hassane Saidi

UFR-High Energy Physics, Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences,
Rabat University, Av. Ibn Battouta B.P. 1014, Morocco.

H-saidi@fsr.ac.ma

February 1, 2008

arXiv:hep-th/0101172v1 25 Jan 2001

Abstract

Using parafermionic field theoretical methods, the fundamentals of 2d fractional supersymmetry $\mathbf{Q}^K = P$ are set up. Known difficulties induced by methods based on the $U_q(sl(2))$ quantum group representations and non commutative geometry are overpassed in the parafermionic approach. Moreover we find that fractional supersymmetric algebras are naturally realized as matrix models. The K=3 case is studied in details. Links between 2d $(\frac{1}{3}, 0)$ and $((\frac{1}{3}^2), 0)$ fractional supersymmetries and N=2 U(1) and N=4 su(2) standard supersymmetries respectively are exhibited. Field theoretical models describing the self couplings of the matter multiplets $(0^2, (\frac{1}{3})^2, (\frac{2}{3})^2)$ and $(0^4, (\frac{1}{3})^4, (\frac{2}{3})^4)$ are given.

PACS numbers: 0220, 1110K, 1125H, 1130P

Published in Class.Quantum Grav. **16**(1999)1793-1804

1 Introduction

In the few last years, there has been attempts to develop a superspace formulation of 2d QFT that is invariant under fractional supersymmetry (FSS) defined by:$Q^k = P, \quad \bar{Q}^k = \bar{P}, \quad K > 2$, together with relations involving both Q and $\bar{Q}$. In these Eqs $P = P_{-1}$ and $\bar{P} = P_{+1}$ are the two heterotic components of the 2d energy momentum vector operator P_m; $Q \equiv Q_{-1/k}$ and $\bar{Q} \equiv Q_{1/k}$ are the basic generator of FSS which carry a 2d spin $s = 1/k$. Most of the studies of this special class of 2d massive QFT are essentially based on methods of $U_q(sl(2))$ quantum group representations and non commutative geometry [1,2] . This approach however met many difficulties and has lead to partial results only. Among these difficulties we quote the two following ones associated with the heterotic K=3 case ($Q^3 = P$) . The first one deals with the computation of the two points correlation function $< \Psi_{-1/3}(z_1), \Psi_{-2/3}(z_2) >$ of the two partners $\Psi_{-1/3}(z)$ and $\Psi_{-2/3}(z)$ of the bosonic field $\varphi(z)$ of the scalar representation $(\varphi, \Psi_{-1/3}, \Psi_{-2/3})$ of the $(Q^3 = P)$ algebra. From the view point of the 2d conformal field theory, one expects from the braiding feature $z_{12}\Psi_{-1/3}(z_1)\Psi_{-2/3}(z_2) = z_{21}\Psi_{-2/3}(z_2)\Psi_{-1/3}(z_1)$, that the field operators $\Psi_{-1/3}$ and $\Psi_{-2/3}$ should anticommute. This result however is not fulfiled in the approach based on the $U_q(sl(2))$ quantum groups and non commutative geometry where the $\Psi_{-1/3}$ and $\Psi_{-2/3}$ fields obey a generalised commutation rule [3] leading to a two point correlation function $< \Psi_{-1/3}(z_1), \Psi_{-2/3}(z_2) >_{U_q(sl(2))}$ which violate locality.

The second thing we want to quote concerns the construction of the generalised superspace and superfields. In this context, one uses generalised Grassmann variables $\theta_1 = \theta_{1/3}$ and $\theta_2 = \theta_{-1/3}$ satisfying a third order nilpotency condition $\theta^3_{\pm 1/3} = 0$, together with $\theta^2_{\pm 1/3} \neq 0$ and $\theta_1\theta_2 = \omega\theta_2\theta_1$, where ω is a C-number such that $\omega^3 = 1$. The condition $\theta^3_{\pm 1/3} = 0$, which generalizes the usual condition of Grassmann variables of spin $1/2$, is necessary in order to describe off shell representations of the fractional supersymmetric algebra (FSA) in terms of superfields $\Phi(z, \theta, \bar{z}, \bar{\theta})$. In this language, FSS is generated by translations along the θ and $\bar{\theta}$ directions, i.e. $\theta \to \theta + \varepsilon$ and $\bar{\theta} \to \bar{\theta} + \bar{\varepsilon}$, where ε and $\bar{\varepsilon}$ are generalized Grassmann variables of same nature as θ and $\bar{\theta}$. Until now things are quite similar to the superspace formulation of ordinary supersymmetry associated with K=2. However there are weak points in the construction of the generalised superspaces for $K > 2$. One of these weakness deals with the treatemenent of θ^2, $\bar{\theta}^2$ and the ε and $\bar{\varepsilon}$ parameters. In trying to establish a given relation by following two equivalent paths, we get different results. On one hand, $\theta(\bar{\theta})$ should commute with itself as required by the identity $(1 - x)\theta^2 = 0$, which implies that either $\theta^2 = 0$ and $x \neq 0$ or $x = 1$ and $\theta^2 \neq 0$. On the other hand $\theta(\bar{\theta})$ and $\varepsilon(\bar{\varepsilon})$ are required to satisfy generalized commutation rules type $\theta\varepsilon = \omega\varepsilon\theta(\bar{\theta}\bar{\varepsilon} = \omega\bar{\varepsilon}\bar{\theta})$; $\omega^3 = 1$. This is a contradiction since $\theta(\theta + \varepsilon) \neq \omega(\theta + \varepsilon)\theta$. This difficulty may be also viewed

from the generalised commutation rule $\theta\eta = \omega\eta\theta$. Taking the limit $\eta = \theta$, one obtains $\theta^2 = \omega\theta^2$ which implies that θ^2 should be zero.
The aim of this paper is to set up the basis of 2d fractional supersymmetric QFT by using parafermionic field theoretical methods. These methods, which were considered recently in [4], seems to be the right language to develop a local fractional supersymmetric QFT. This believe is also supported by the fact that after all fractional supersymmetry is nothing but a residual symmetry of massive perturbations of parafermionic critical models. From this point of view; the generators of fractional supersymmetry are just remanant constants of motion surviving after deformations of parafermionic conformal invariance. Thinking of 2d fractional supersymmetry as a finite dimensional subsymmetry of the Z_K conformal invariance [5] for instance, one discovers that all the known difficulties of the abovementioned approach disappear. Moreover we find that fractional supersymmetric algebras are generated by more than one charge operator and can be described in a natural way as a matrix model. The charge operators $Q_{-x}, \quad x = 0, 1/k, \ldots$, form altogether a $K \times K$ matrix operator allowing to define the fractional supersymmetric algebra as:

$$trQ^k = P_{-1}, \tag{1}$$

together with other relations. For details see Eqs (5); (8) and (17).
This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we study the matrix realisations of 2d supersymmetry. In section 3, we work out the links between fractional supersymmetry and parafermions. Matrix realisations of fractional supersymmetry are analysed in sections 4 and 5. In section 6, we describe brefly the superfield theory of the matter couplings of $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ and $((\frac{1}{3})^2, (\frac{1}{3})^2)$ fractional superalgebras. In section 7, we present discussions and give our conclusion.

1.1 2d supersymmetry as a matrix model

In this paragraph we consider the 2d heterotic supersymmetric algebras respectively defined as:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{Q_{-1/2}, Q_{-1/2}\} & = & P_{-1} \\ [P_{-1}, Q_{-1/2}] & = & 0, \end{array} \tag{2}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{Q^+_{-1/2}, Q^-_{-1/2}\} & = & P_{-1} \\ \{Q^\pm_{-1/2}, Q^\pm_{-1/2}\} & = & 0 \\ [P_{-1}, Q^\pm_{-1/2}] & = & 0, \end{array} \tag{3}$$

and shows that they can be represented as 2×2 matrix models. A generalisation to higher dimensional spaces of these representations turns out to offer a natural framework to study fractional supersymmetry. It shows moreover that methods of standard

supersymmetry can be also used to deal with exotic supersymmetries.
To start consider the following off diagonal symmetric 2×2 matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ whose entries are given by the 2d $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ supersymmetric generator $Q_{-1/2}$:

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Q_{-1/2} \\ Q_{-1/2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

This matrix operator acts on the 2d space of quantum states $|B\rangle = B(0)|0\rangle$ and $|F\rangle = F(0)|0\rangle$ where the letters B and F stand for Bose and Fermi fields.Taking the square product of Eq (4), it is not difficult to see that the $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ supersymmetric algebra (2) may be defined as:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} tr[\mathbf{Q}^2] & = & P_{-1} \\ tr[\mathbf{Q}] & = & 0, \end{array} \tag{5}$$

where the suffix tr means the usual matrix trace operation. There are several remarkable features of the matrix definition Eqs (4-5) of the 2d $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ supersymmetric algebra; some of them are manifest at the level of the $K \times K = 2 \times 2$ matrix model, others are hidden and emerge for $K \geq 3$ representations. For details see sections 3 and 4.
Moreover combining the usual constraints of 2d supersymmetry, in particular hermiticity of the energy momentum vector $P_{-1}, P_{-1}^{+} = P_{-1}$ with the features of the matrix model (5), one sees that the realisation of $\mathbf{Q}$, Eq (4), is not the unique one. Indeed, decomposing $\mathbf{Q}$ as $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}^{+} + \mathbf{Q}^{-}$

$$\mathbf{Q}^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Q_{-x}^{+} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \qquad \mathbf{Q}^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Q_{-y}^{-} & 0, \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

one sees that the spins x and y carried by the $Q^{\pm}$ charge operators are related as

$$x + y = 1, \tag{7}$$

as required by the first relation of Eq (5). Abstraction done from Eq (2) and (3), there are infinitely many solutions of Eqs (6 -7); we shall first consider the two solutions $x = y = \frac{1}{2}$ and x=0 and y=+1 related with the leading zero mode operators of the NS and Ramond sectors of 2d superconformal invariance. Later on we shall explore the interesting cases $x = \frac{1}{k}$ and $y = \frac{(k-1)}{k}, k = 3, 4, \ldots$, and their link with Z_K parafermionic invariance.Now using Eqs (6) instead of Eq (4) one finds that the algebra Eqs (5) reads in general as:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} tr[\mathbf{Q}^{+}\mathbf{Q}^{-}] = P_{-1} & & \\ tr[\mathbf{Q}^{+}\mathbf{Q}^{+}] = tr[\mathbf{Q}^{-}\mathbf{Q}^{-}] & = & 0 \\ tr[\mathbf{Q}^{+}] = tr[\mathbf{Q}^{-}] & = & 0. \end{array} \tag{8}$$

Eqs (8) has a U(1) automorphism symmetry which breaks down to Z_2 in the case of Eqs (5) , this is why we shall refer to Eqs (5) and Eqs (8) as 2d $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and $((\frac{1}{2})^2, 0)$

supersymmetric algebras respectively. Moreover introducing the generators of the gl(2) Lie algebra, we have the following 2×2 matrix representations:
(i). the NS like superalgebra.
In this case the supersymmetric 2×2 matrix generators $Q^{\pm}$ read as:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{Q}^{+} & = & Q_{-1/2}E_{12}, \\ \mathbf{Q}^{-} & = & Q_{-1/2}E_{21}, \end{array} \tag{9}$$

for $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ supesymmetry and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{Q}^{+} & = & Q^{+}_{-1/2}E_{12}, \\ \mathbf{Q}^{-} & = & Q^{-}_{-1/2}E_{21}, \end{array} \tag{10}$$

for $((\frac{1}{2})^2, 0)$ supersymmetry.
(ii). The Ramond like algebra.
Here the matrix generators are realized as:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{Q}^{+} & = & Q_{0}E_{12}, \\ \mathbf{Q}^{-} & = & Q_{-1}E_{21}, \end{array} \tag{11}$$

for the hermitian case

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{Q}^{+} & = & Q^{+}_{0}E_{12}, \\ \mathbf{Q}^{-} & = & Q^{-}_{-1}E_{21}, \end{array} \tag{12}$$

for the complex one. Note that compatibility between the usual hermiticity $(+)$ of the energy momentum vector P_{-1} and the adjoint conjugation $(+)$ of the $Q^{\pm}$ matrix generators requires the following identifications:

$$\begin{array}{l} [Q^{\pm}_{-x}]^{+} = Q^{\mp}_{-1+x} \\ [Q_{-x}]^{+} = Q_{-1+x} \end{array} \tag{13}$$

Eqs (11) show that $Q_{-1/2}$ is self adjoint whereas Q_0 and Q_{-1} are interchanged under the $(+)$ conjugation. However knowing that Q_0 should satisfy a 2d Clifford algebra $\{Q_0, Q_0\} = 1$, and using the current modes of the Ramond superconformal algebra [6], one may set $2Q_{-1=}\{Q_0, P_{-1}\} = 2Q_0P_{-1}$. This identification reduces the number of generators of the algebra Eq (11). Likewise, one may also set $Q^{-}_{-1} = Q^{-}_{0}P_{-1}$ where Q^{-}_{0} together with Q^{+}_{0} satisfy

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{Q^{+}_{0}, Q^{-}_{0}\} & = & 1 \\ \{Q^{\pm}, Q^{\pm}\} & = & 0, \end{array} \tag{14}$$

and where $Q_0 = Q^{+}_{0} + Q^{-}_{0}$. Before going ahead note that the 2d NS like superalgebra generated by $Q_{-1/2}(Q^{\pm}_{-1/2})$ exchanges bosons B and fermions F whereas the Ramond like one generated by $Q_0(Q^{\pm}_{0})$ preserves the statistics. In the 2d quantum field language,

the Ramond like algebra acts on the field doublet $(\Phi_{1/2}(z), \Phi_{-1/2}(z))$, $\quad Q_0^{\pm}, \Phi_{\pm 1/2} = 0$; $\quad Q_0^{\pm}\Phi_{\mp 1/2} = \Phi_{\pm 1/2}$, as follows:

$$\Phi_{\pm 1/2} \longrightarrow_{Q_0^{\mp}} \quad \Phi_{\mp 1/2} \longrightarrow_{Q_0^{\mp}} \quad \partial_z \Phi_{\pm 1/2}. \tag{15}$$

Note, moreover that the NS and R like algebras can be realized by help of Grassman variables $\theta_{\pm 1/2}^{\pm}$ and $\theta_0^{\pm}$ as:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} Q_{-1/2}^{\pm} & = & \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1/2}^{\mp}} + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{1/2}^{\pm}\partial_{-1} \\ Q_0^{\pm} & = & \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0^{\mp}} + \frac{1}{2}\theta_0^{\pm}. \end{array} \tag{16}$$

Similar realizations may be also written down for the hermitian charge operators $Q_{-1/2}$ and $Q_{-1/2}$. In what follows, we want to extend the above matrix formulation to the case K=3. At first sight this should be possible if one succeeds to relate fractional supersymmetry to an infinite dimensional fractional superconformal invariance in the same manner as usual 2d supersymmetry is related to the superconformal invariances. To that purpose we start by establishing the link between fractional supersymmetry and the Z_K parafermionic invariance. Then we study its matrix realisation.

2 Fractional supersymmetry and parafermions

Here we want to show that from the point of view of 2d Z_K parafermionic invariance, the definition of fractional supersymmetry, say $(Q_{-1/k})^k = P, K > 2$, is just a formal one. The right way to define it is as in Eqs (1). The latter show that FSS is in fact generated by many charge operators which we denote as $Q_{-x}, x = 0, 1/k, \ldots$..More precisely FSS is generated by a $k \times k$ matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ whose entries are the charge operators Q_{-x} carrying various values of fractional spins. For the $k = 3$ case we are interested in here, there are in total three pairs of charge operators $Q_{-x}^{\pm}, x = 0, 1/3, 2/3$, together with P_{-1}. Altogether, these operators generate the formal cubic nonlinear algebra $Q^3 = P_{-1}$ which as we shall see, is correctly defined as

$$\begin{array}{lcl} tr[\mathbf{Q}^{+}\mathbf{Q}^{-}] & = & P_{-1} \\ tr[\mathbf{Q}^{+2}] = tr[\mathbf{Q}^{-2}] & = & 0 \\ tr[\mathbf{Q}^{+}] = tr[\mathbf{Q}^{-}] & = & 0. \end{array} \tag{17}$$

In equation (12) $Q^{\pm}$ are 3×3 matrices given by:

$$\mathbf{Q}^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & Q_{-1/3}^{-} \\ Q_{-2/3}^{-} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_{-1}^{-} & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \qquad \mathbf{Q}^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Q_{-1/3}^{+} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q_0^{+} \\ Q_{-2/3}^{+} & 0 & 0, \end{bmatrix} \tag{18}$$

Note by the way that here also Q^+_{-x} and Q^-_{-y} obey Eqs (13) and $Q^-_{-1} = Q^-_0 P_{-1}$. A way to derive Eqs (17-18) is to consider the Z_3 parafermionic invariance of Zamolodchikov and Fateev (ZF) [5] given by :

$$\begin{array}{l} \Psi^{\pm}(z_1)\Psi^{\pm}(z_2) \approx -z_{12}^{-2/3}\Psi^{\pm}(z_2) \\ \Psi^{+}(z_1)\Psi^{-}(z_2) \approx -z_{12}^{-4/3}[1 + 5/3 z_{12}^2 T(z_2)] \\ T(z_1)\Psi^{\pm}(z_2) \approx \frac{2/3}{z_{12}^2}\Psi^{\pm}(z_2) + \frac{1}{z_{12}}\partial_z\Psi^{\pm}(z_2) \\ T(z_1)T(z_2) = \frac{k-1}{k+2} z_{12}^{-4} + 2z_{12}^{-2}T(z_2) + z_{12}^{-1}T(z_2). \end{array} \tag{19}$$

In these Eqs $T(z)$ is the usual energy momentum current, $\Psi^{\pm}(z)([\Psi^{\pm}(z)]^+ = \Psi^{\mp}(z))$ are the ZF parafermionic currents of spin $\frac{2}{}$. The algebra (19) has three parafermionic highest weight representations (PHWR)$[\Phi^q_q]; q = 0, 1, 2.$ namely the identity family $I = [\Phi^0_0]$ of highest weight $h_0 = 0$ and two degenerate families $[\Phi^1_1]$ and $[\Phi^2_2]$ of weights $h_1 = h_2 = \frac{1}{15}$. Each one of these PHWR $[\Phi^q_q]$ is reducible into three Virasoro HWR $(\Phi^p_q), p = q, p = q + mod6$. For more details on the representation theory of Eqs (18) see [5-7]. All we need in the achievement of this study is the algebra Eqs (19),the identities

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Psi^{\pm} \times \Phi^p_q = \Phi^{p\pm2}_q & (a) \\ \Phi^{p\pm6}_q = \Phi^p_q & (b) \end{array} \tag{20}$$

,

as well as the following braiding property of the conformal field operators $\Phi_1(z_1)$ and $\Phi_2(z_2)$:

$$z_{12}^{\Delta}\Phi_1(z_1)\Phi_2(z_2) = z_{21}^{\Delta}\Phi_2(z_2)\Phi_1(z_1) = \Phi(z), \tag{21}$$

where $\Delta = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3, \Delta_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, are the weights of the Φ_i's. Note that Eq (20-a) is a formal Eq which should be understood as:

$$\Psi^{\pm}(z_1)\Phi^p_q = \sum z_{12}^{n-1\mp p/3} Q^{\pm}_{-n\pm(p\pm1)/3}\Phi^p_q(z_2), \tag{22}$$

where $Q^{\pm}_{-n\pm(p\pm1)/3}$ are the mode operators of $\Psi^{\pm}$ which in turn may be defined as

$$Q^{\pm}_{-n\pm(p\pm1)/3}|\Phi^p_q\rangle = \oint dz z^{n\pm p/3}\Psi^{\pm}(z)\Phi^p_q(0)|0\rangle. \tag{23}$$

In addition to Eqs (20) which predicts the existence of three doublets of the charge operators $Q^{\pm}_{-x}, x = 0, 1/3, 2/3$, one also has the braiding feature (21) playing a crucial role in the building of FSS. For example the deformation parameter ω (often denoted by q in the literature) of quantum groups and non commutative geometry dealing with fractional supersymmetry should be related with the braiding property of the conformal field blocks $\Phi_1(z_1)$ and $\Phi_2(z_2)$. Eq (21) tells us that the parameter ω is equal to $exp(\pm i\pi\Delta)$. For $\Delta_3 = 0$ for instance, ω reduces to $exp(\pm i[\pi(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2)])$, so that for $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 = 1$, the field operators Φ_1 and Φ_2 anticommute and then should be

treated as fermions. Choosing $\Delta_1 = 1/3$ and $\Delta_2 = 2/3$ for example, the two point function $< \Phi_{1/3}(z_1, \Phi_{2/3}(z_2 >$ should be equal to $- < \Phi_{2/3}(z_2, \Phi_{1/3}(z_1 >= 1/z_{12}$. This result, derived from parafermionic conformal field methods, solves the difficulty of refs [3] according to which the two point function $< \Phi_{1/3}(z_1, \Phi_{2/3}(z_2 >_{U_q(sl(2)}$ computed in a model of fractional supersymmetry based on quantum groups and non commutative geometry methods; i.e:

$$< \Phi_{1/3}(z_1, \Phi_{2/3}(z_2 >_{U_q(sl(2)} = e^{2i\pi/3} < \Phi_{2/3}(z_2, \Phi_{1/3}(z_1 >_{U_q(sl(2)} = \frac{1}{z_{12}}. \qquad (24)$$

Eq (24) shows that the model based on the $U_q(sl(2)$ methods is non local. In summary local 2d field theoretical realisation of fractional supersymmetry cannot be generated by only one charge operator. The number of generators may be obtained by analysing the mode operators $Q^{\pm}_{-n\pm(p\pm1)/3}$, n integer. The $Q^{\pm}_{-n\pm(p\pm1)/3}$'s depend on the p charge of the conformal representation $|\Phi^p_q\rangle$ on which they act. For q=0 for example, the non vanishing actions of $Q^{\pm}_{-x}, x = 0, 1/3, 2/3$ on the leading states $|s, p\rangle$ of spin s, $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and charge p read as:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} Q^{\pm}_{-2/3}|0,0\rangle & = & |\frac{2}{3},0\rangle \\ Q^{+}_{0}|\frac{2}{3},+2\rangle & = & |\frac{2}{3},-2\rangle \\ Q^{-}_{0}|\frac{2}{3},-2\rangle & = & |\frac{2}{3},+2\rangle \\ Q^{+}_{-1/3}|\frac{2}{3},-2\rangle & = & |1,0\rangle \\ Q^{-}_{-1/3}|\frac{2}{3},+2\rangle & = & |1,0\rangle. \end{array} \qquad (25)$$

From these Eqs and Eqs (22-23), one sees that $Q^{\pm}_{-1/3}$ and $Q^{\pm}_{0}$ cannot act directly on the state $|0,0\rangle$ similarly $Q^{\pm}_{-2/3}$ cannot operate directly on $|\frac{2}{3},\pm2\rangle$. This feature gives another indication that FSS should be generated by more than one Q operator as it is currently used in the literature based on quantum groups and non commutative geometry approaches. Recall that the first indication we have mentionned in the introduction of this paper refers to the inconsistences induced by the introduction of the generalized Grassmann variable θ satisfying a higher order nilpotency condition $\theta^k = 0, k > 2$ with $\theta^{k-1} \neq 0$ and where the problem of locality rised above is just one of the manifestation of the limit of the methods used. In the approach based on parafermionic conformal field theorical techniques we are considering here, these problems are overpassed. Locality is restored since all fields carrying fractional spins obey an anticommuting statistics and the higher order Grassmann nilpotency necessary for the description of off shell representations of FSS is ensured by the presence of more than one charge operator. Having discussed the link between FSS and parafermions, we turn now to study its relation with matrix theory.

3 Fractional supersymmetry as a matrix model

Starting from Eqs (25) and denoting by $\Pi_r, r = 0, \pm 1$, the projectors along the states $|s, p\rangle = |i\rangle (p = 2i)$ and by $E_{ij} = |i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle$ the generators of the gl(3) Lie algebra rotating the state $|i\rangle$ into the state $|j\rangle, i, j = 0, \pm 1$, (the indices $\pm$ refer to the two fractional supersymmetric partners of the bosonic state indexed by i=0.), one sees that the component P_{-1} of the energy momentum vector reads in terms of the $Q^{\pm}_{-x}$'s,$x = 0, 1/3, 2/3$ and the projectors as:

$$\begin{array}{l} P_{-1} \approx tr\mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{P} = Q^{+}_{-1/3} Q^{+}_{0} Q^{+}_{-2/3} \Pi_0 + Q^{+}_{-2/3} Q^{+}_{-1/3} Q^{+}_{0} \Pi_1 + Q^{+}_{0} Q^{+}_{-2/3} Q^{+}_{-1/3} \Pi_{-1}. \end{array} \tag{26}$$

Note that a similar relation to this Eq using Q^{-}_{-x} instead of Q^{+}_{-x} is also valid . Moreover using Eqs (25), one many rewrite Eqs (26) and its hermetic conjugate in the following form.

$$2P = [Q^{+}_{-1/3} Q^{-}_{-2/3} + Q^{-}_{-1/3} Q^{+}_{-2/3}] \Pi_0 + [Q^{+}_{-2/3} Q^{-}_{-1/3} + Q^{-}_{-1} Q^{+}_{0}] \Pi_1 + [Q^{-}_{-2/3} Q^{+}_{-1/3} + Q^{+}_{-} Q^{-}_{0}] \Pi_{-1}. \tag{27}$$

Comparing Eqs (27) and (26), one finds the following constraint relations:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Q^{-}_{-1/3} & = & Q^{+}_{-1/3} Q^{+}_{0} \\ Q^{+}_{-1/3} & = & Q^{-}_{-1/3} Q^{-}_{0} \\ Q^{-}_{-2/3} & = & Q^{+}_{0} Q^{+}_{-2/3} \\ Q^{+}_{-2/3} & = & Q^{-}_{0} Q^{-}_{-2/3} \\ Q^{-}_{-1} & = & Q^{+}_{-2/3} Q^{+}_{-1/3} \\ Q^{+}_{-1} & = & Q^{-}_{-2/3} Q^{-}_{-1/3}. \end{array} \tag{28}$$

Eqs (28) and consequently Eqs (26-27) may be satisfied identically by introducing the following 3×3 matrix operator $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}^{+} + \mathbf{Q}^{-}$ whose entries are $Q^{\pm}_{-x}$'s,$x = 0, 1/3, 2/3$ are the generators of FSS. This matrix representation is in agreement with the ZF parafermionic invariance property (22-23) and the constraint Eqs (28). Using the gl(3) generators $E_{ij} = |i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle, i, j = 0, \pm 1$, one may write down the two following matrix realisations for the $Q^{\pm}_{-x}$'s.

(i)$(\frac{1}{3}, 0)$ Real FSS: This algebra, to which we shall refer hereafter to as 2d$(\frac{1}{3}, 0)$ FSS, is the analogue of Eqs (2) and (5). The matrix representation of the $Q^{\pm}_{-x}$'s reads as:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Q^{+}_{-2/3} & = & Q_{-2/3} E_{1,0} \\ Q^{-}_{-2/3} & = & Q_{-2/3} E_{-1,0} \\ Q^{+}_{0} & = & Q_{0} E_{-1,1} \\ Q^{-}_{0} & = & Q_{0} E_{1,-1} \\ Q^{+}_{-1/3} & = & Q_{-1/3} E_{0,-1} \\ Q^{-}_{-1/3} & = & Q_{-1/3} E_{0,1}. \end{array} \tag{29}$$

The charge carried by the $Q^{\pm}_{-x}$ of Eqs (29) is the Z_3 charge of the automorphism symmetry of the matrix operator equation.

$$(E^3)_{ii} = \Pi_i; \quad i = 0, \pm 1. \tag{30}$$

In the orthonormal basis $\{|i\rangle, i = i = 0, \pm 1\}$, the matrix representation of the $Q^{\pm}_{-x}$'s reads as:

$$\mathbf{Q}^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Q_{-2/3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q_0 \\ Q_{-1/3} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \qquad \mathbf{Q}^- = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & Q_{-2/3} \\ Q_{-1/3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_{-1} & 0, \end{bmatrix} \tag{31}$$

Using Eqs (31) it is not difficult to check that the following relation hold:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 2\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q}^+\mathbf{Q}^- + \mathbf{Q}^-\mathbf{Q}^+ & (a) \\ \mathbf{Q}^- = \mathbf{Q}^+\mathbf{Q}^+ & (b) \\ \mathbf{Q}^{-2} = \mathbf{P}.\mathbf{Q}^+. & (c) \end{array} \tag{32}$$

Taking the traces of both sides of these matrix Eqs, one discovers the algebra Eqs (17) which reads in terms of $Q_{-1/3}$ and $Q_{-2/3}$ as:

$$\begin{array}{l} P_{-1} = Q_{-1/3}Q_{-2/3} + Q_{-2/3}Q_{-1/3} \\ 0 = \{Q_{-1/3}, Q_{-1/3}\} = \{Q_{-2/3}, Q_{-2/3}\}. \end{array} \tag{33}$$

Note that Eq(33) was expected from the constraint Eq (7). It was suggested in ref [8] as a linearized form of the non linear operator equation $[Q_{-1/3}]^3 = P_{-1}$. The relation between Eq (33) and 2d$((\frac{1}{2}^2), 0)$ supersymmetry suspected in [8] will be considered latter on.

(ii)The $((\frac{1}{3}^2), 0)$ FSA: This is a complex solution for which the matrix representation of the $Q^{\pm}_{-x}$'s reads as.

$$\mathbf{Q}^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Q^+_{-2/3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q^+_0 \\ Q^+_{-1/3} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \qquad \mathbf{Q}^- = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & Q^-_{-2/3} \\ Q^-_{-1/3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q^-_{-1} & 0. \end{bmatrix} \tag{34}$$

Here also the charges carried by the $Q^{\pm}_{-x}$ are Z_3 charges. Similar calculations as for the $(\frac{1}{3}, 0)$ algebra show that Eqs (32) are again fulfiled for the representation (34). Using Eqs (34) and solving Eqs (32), we find the following relations :

$$\begin{array}{ll} 2P_{-1} = \{Q^+_{-2/3}, Q^-_{-1/3}\} + \{Q^+_{-1/3}, Q^-_{-2/3}\} & (a) \\ 0 = \{Q^{\pm}_{-1/3}, Q^{\pm}_{-1/3}\} = \{Q^{\pm}_{-2/3}, Q^{\pm}_{-2/3}\} & (b) \\ Q^-_{-1/3} = Q^+_{-1/3}Q^+_0; \quad Q^+_{-1/3} = Q^-_0 Q^-_{-1/3} & (c) \\ Q^-_{-2/3} = Q^+_0 Q^+_{-2/3}; \quad Q^+_{-2/3} = Q^-_{-2/3}Q^-_0 & (d). \end{array} \tag{35}$$

Eqs (35) define the $((\frac{1}{3})^2, 0)$ fractional supersymmetrc algebra . It is generated by two Z_3 doublets of anticommuting charges operators $Q^{\pm}_{-1/3}$ and $Q^{\pm}_{-2/3}$. The components of each doublet are related to each another by the $Q^{\pm}_0$'s as shown on Eqs (35-c-d). Note that the algebra (35) is stable under the three following conjugations:(a)$(Q^{\pm}_{-x})^* = Q^{\mp}_{-x}$; (b)$(Q^{\pm}_{-x})^+ = Q^{\mp}_{-1+x}$ and (c)$(Q^{\pm}_{-x})^{+*} = Q^{\pm}_{-1+x}$ suggesting a link with 2d N=$((\frac{1}{2})^4, 0)$su(2) supersymmetry formulated in harmonic superspace [9]. In what follows we shall explore this relation in order to use it for the construction of off shell representations of the 2d$((\frac{1}{3})^2, 0)$ FSS.

4 More on the algebra equations (35)

Because of the periodicity ($p \equiv p \pm 6$) of the representations of the ZF parafermionic algebra (19) which allow to identify the field operators $\Phi^{\pm}$ as $\Phi^{\pm} \equiv \Phi^{\pm 2}$ and $\Phi^{\pm\pm} \equiv \Phi^{\pm 4}$; see Eq (20-b) one may rewrite the charge operators Q_0^+, Q_0^- as Q_0^{--}, Q_0^{++}, respectively. Moreover denoting by Q_0^0 the commutator of the Q_0^+ and Q_0^- charge operators, one sees from Eqs (29) that Q_0^0 and $Q_0^{\pm}$ generate altogether an su(2) algebra.

$$\begin{array}{l} [Q_0^-, Q_0^+] = Q_0^0, \\ [Q_0^0, Q_0^{\pm}] = \mp Q_0^{\pm}, \end{array} \tag{36}$$

acting on the $Q^{\pm}_{-x}, x = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$ charges as:

$$\begin{array}{l} [Q_0^0, Q^{\pm}_{-1/3}] = \pm Q^{\pm}_{-1/3}, \\ [Q_0^0, Q^{\pm}_{-2/3}] = \pm Q^{\pm}_{-2/3}, \\ [Q_0^{\pm}, Q^{\pm}_{-1/3}] = \mp Q^{\mp}_{-1/3}, \\ [Q_0^{\pm}, Q^{\pm}_{-2/3}] = \pm Q^{\mp}_{-2/3} \\ [Q_0^-, Q^+_{-x}] = 0, \\ [Q_0^+, Q^-_{-x}] = 0, . \end{array} \tag{37}$$

Eqs (36) correspond just to the zero mode subalgebra of the level 3 of the $su_3(2)$ Kac Moody symmetry. The latter is known to be homomorphic to the Z_3 parafermionic invariance (19) [10]. Now using the identification $Q_0^{\mp} \equiv Q_0^{\pm\pm}$ and the Z_3 periodicity $q \equiv qmod(3)$, one may rewrite the algebra (36) as

$$\begin{array}{ll} [Q_0^{++}, Q_0^{--}] = Q_0^0, & (a) \\ [Q_0^0, Q_0^{\pm\pm}] = \pm Q_0^0. & (b) \end{array} \tag{38}$$

Substituting $Q_0^{\mp}$ by $Q_0^{\pm\pm}$ in Eqs (37); one gets the following relations which looks like the coresponding ones in 2d N=4 su(2) supersymmetry [9]:

$$\begin{array}{l}
[Q_0^{++}, Q_{-x}^{+}] = [Q_0^{--}, Q_{-x}^{-}] = 0, \\
[Q_0^{++}, Q_{-1/3}^{-}] = aQ_{-1/3}^{+} \\
[Q_0^{--}, Q_{-2/3}^{+}] = aQ_{-2/3}^{-} \\
[Q_0^{0}, Q_{-1/3}^{\pm}] = \pm aQ_{-1/3}^{\pm} \\
[Q_0^{--}, Q_{-1/3}^{+}] = bQ_{-1/3}^{-} \\
[Q_0^{++}, Q_{-2/3}^{-}] = bQ_{-2/3}^{+} \\
[Q_0^{0}, Q_{-2/3}^{\pm}] = \pm aQ_{-2/3}^{\pm},
\end{array} \tag{39}$$

where a=-b=1. Recall that in 2d$((\frac{1}{3})^2, 0)$ su(2) supersymmetry the coefficients a and b are equal to one, a=b=1,and the analogue of Eqs (35-a-b) read as:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
2P_{-1} = \{Q_{-1/2}^{+}, \bar{Q}_{-1/2}^{-}\} - \{Q_{-1/2}^{-}, \bar{Q}_{-1/2}^{+}\} & (a) \\
0 = \{Q_{-1/2}^{\pm}, Q_{-1/2}^{\pm}\} = \{\bar{Q}_{-1/2}^{\pm}, \bar{Q}_{-1/2}^{\pm}\} & (b).
\end{array} \tag{40}$$

Observe by the way that apart from the spin of the charge operators, Eq (38-a) differs from Eq (35-a) by the presence of the minus sign which is required by invariance under the su(2) automorphism group of the 2d N=4 su(2) supersymmetric algebra. Nevertheless the similarity between Eqs (35) and (37-38) allows us to build an off shell superspace formulation of 2d$((\frac{1}{3})^2, 0)$ supersymmetry by mimiking the harmonic superspace formalism [11].

5 Superfield theory.

We start first by describing the superfield theory of the 2d$(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$, fractional supersymmetry Eq (33) especially the matter couplings of the on shell scalar representation $(\varphi, \Psi_{\pm 1/3}, \Psi_{\pm 2/3})$. Using the formal analogy between Eqs (33) and those of 2d N=2 U(1) supersymmetry, namely

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
Q_{\mp 1/3} & \longrightarrow & Q_{\mp 1/2}^{+} \\
\theta_{\pm 1/3} & \longrightarrow & \theta_{\pm 1/2}^{+} \\
Q_{\mp 2/3} & \longrightarrow & Q_{\mp 1/2}^{-} \\
\theta_{\pm 2/3} & \longrightarrow & \theta_{\pm 1/2}^{-} \\
\varphi & \longrightarrow & \varphi(y) \\
\Psi_{\mp 1/3} & \longrightarrow & \Psi_{\mp 1/2}^{+} \\
\varphi & \longrightarrow & \varphi(y) \\
\Psi_{\mp 2/3} & \longrightarrow & \Psi_{\mp 1/2}^{-},
\end{array} \tag{41}$$

and following the same lines used in the building of 2d N=2 U(1) supersymmetric matter couplings [12], one sees that the superfield action $S[\Phi, \bar{\Phi}]$ invariant under the

2d$(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3})$ fractional supersymmetry reads as:

$$S[\Phi,\bar{\Phi}] = \int d^2z d\theta_{1/3} d\theta_{-1/3} d\theta_{2/3} d\theta_{-2/3} K[\Phi,\bar{\Phi}]. \tag{42}$$

In this Eq, K is the Kahler potential depending on the chiral superfields Φ and $\bar{\Phi}$ given by :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Phi & = & \varphi + \theta_{1/3}\Psi_{-1/3} + \theta_{-1/3}\Psi_{1/3} + \theta_{1/3}\theta_{-1/3}F, \\ \bar{\Phi} & = & \bar{\varphi} + \theta_{2/3}\Psi_{-2/3} + \theta_{-2/3}\Psi_{2/3} + \theta_{2/3}\theta_{-2/3}F. \end{array} \tag{43}$$

Φ and $\bar{\Phi}$ describe a complex scalar representation of the algebra (33); each bosonic degree of freedom has two partners of spin $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$. Note that using Eq (42) and the matter superfield representation Eq (43); one gets the right two point free correlation functions; i.e:$< \Psi_{-1/3}(z_1)\Psi_{-2/3}(z_2) >$ and $< \Psi_{-1/3}(z_1)\Psi_{-1/3}(z_2) >=< \Psi_{-2/3}(z_1)\Psi_{-2/3}(z_2) >= 0$. Concerning the $((\frac{1}{3})^2, 0)$ fractional supersymmetric algebra (35) generated by the four generators $Q^{\pm}_{-1/3}$ and $Q^{\pm}_{-2/3}$, one may use here also the similarity with 2d N=4 extended supersymmetry. Thus extending Eqs (35) as:

$$\begin{array}{l} P_{-1} = \{D^+_{-2/3}, D^-_{-1/3}\} = -\{D^+_{-1/3}, D^-_{-2/3}\} \\ 0 = \{D^{\pm}_{-x}, D^{\pm}_{-y}\}, \end{array} \tag{44}$$

together with

$$\begin{array}{l} [D^{++}, D^+_{-x}] = [D^{++}, D^-_{-x}] = 0; \quad x = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \\ [D^{++}, D^-_{-x}] = D^+_{-x} \\ [D^{++}, D^{--}] = D^0 \\ [D^0, D^{\pm}_{-x}] = \pm D^{\pm}_{-x}, \end{array} \tag{45}$$

and using the Grassman variables $\theta^{\pm}_{1/3}$ and $\theta^{\pm}_{2/3}$ of spin $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$ respectively, but still satisfying $\theta^{\pm 2}_x = 0$ one can build a superspace realisation of Eqs (44-45) and consequently a 2d quantum superfield theory. A remarkable realisation of the algebra (44-45), stable under the combined conjugation $+*$ and using the covariant superderivatives $D^{\pm}_x$ and $D^{\pm\pm}_x$, D^0_0 instead of the $Q^{\pm}_{-x}$ generators is given by:

$$\begin{array}{l} D^+_{-1/3} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^-_{-1/3}} \\ D^+_{-2/3} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^-_{-2/3}} \\ D^-_{-2/3} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^+_{2/3}} - \theta^-_{1/3} P_{-1} \\ D^-_{-1/3} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^+_{1/3}} - \theta^-_{2/3} P_{-1} \\ P_{-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \end{array} \tag{46}$$

where $y = z - \frac{1}{2}(\theta^-_{1/3}\theta^+_{2/3} + \theta^+_{1/3}\theta^-_{2/3})$ and where

$$\begin{array}{l} D^{++} = [u^{+i}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{-i}} - \theta^+_{1/3}\theta^+_{2/3} P_{-1}] \\ D^{--} = [u^{-i}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{+i}} - \theta^-_{1/3}\theta^-_{2/3} P_{-1}] \\ D^0 = [D^{++}, D^{--}]. \end{array} \tag{47}$$

In this realisation $u_i^{\pm}$ are the well known harmonic variable satisfying $u^{+i}u_i^- = 1$ and $u^{\pm i}u_i^{\pm} = 0$. Using the realisation (46-47), the on shell matter multiplet $(0^4, (\frac{1}{3})^4, (\frac{2}{3})^4)$ of the fractional supersymmetric algebra (44-45) is given by a hermitean superfield satisfying the analytic conditions

$$D^+_{-1/3}\Phi = D^+_{-2/3}\Phi = 0, \tag{48}$$

and the equation of motion

$$D^{++2}\Phi = 0. \tag{49}$$

The θ_x^+ expanssion of the superfield Φ, stable under the combined conjugation $(+*)$ reads as:

$$\Phi = \varphi^{ij}u_i^+u_j^- + \theta^+_{1/3}\Psi^i_{-1/3}u_i^- + \theta^+_{2/3}\Psi^i_{-2/3}u_i^- + \theta^+_{1/3}\theta^+_{2/3}F^{ij}u_i^-u_j^-. \tag{50}$$

The action of $S[\Phi]$ describing the dynamics and the couplings of the superfields Φ is similar to that of $2d((\frac{1}{2})^4, 0)$ su(2) harmonic superspace. More generally the matter couplings of the $((\frac{1}{3})^2, (\frac{1}{3})^2))$ su(2) fractional supersymmetry, extending Eqs (44-45) by adjoining the antianalytic part, read in the harmonic superspace formulation [9] as:

$$S[\Phi] = \int d^2z d^2\theta^+_{\pm 1/3} d^2\theta^+_{\mp 2/3} du L^{+4}(\Phi), \tag{51}$$

where L^{+4} is a functional of the superfield Φ.

6 Discussions and conclusion

Using conformal parafermionic field theoretical methods, we have studied realisations of the fractional supersymmetric algebras extending the usual 2d supersymmetries. We have found that all the known difficulties present in models based on $U_q(sl(2))$ and non commutative geometry representations are overpassed. One of the consequences of the new approach is that instead of one generator $Q_{-1/k}$, FSAs are generated by many basic charge operators $Q_{-x}, \quad x = 1/k, 1/2k, \ldots$,For K=3 for example, the $(\frac{1}{3}, 0)$FSA is generated by two main charge operators $Q_{-1/3}$ and $Q_{-2/3}$ satisfying Eqs (33) and the $((\frac{1}{3})^2, 0)$ FSA is generated by two doublets $Q^{\pm}_{-1/3}$ and $Q^{\pm}_{-2/3}$ verifying Eqs (35). The proliferation of the fractional supersymmetric generators is the price one should pay in order to build a 2d local fractional supersymmetric QFT. This feature explains why the realisation obtained in ref [8] solves the constraints of fractional supersymmetry. It tells us moreover that there is a close connection between $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ and $((\frac{1}{3})^2, (\frac{1}{3})^2))$ FSA's and 2d N=2U(1) and N=4 su(2) supersymmetric algebras respectively. On the other hand the conformal field methods show us that fractional supersymmetry has other remarkable features which become manifest for $K \geq 3$. As an example, the standard

definition of fractional heterotic superalgebras as $Q^K = P; \quad k > 2$ is a formal one. The right way to define it is as $P = tr[Q^K]$ where Q is a $K \times K$ matrix. For K=3, we found that Z_3 invariant fractional supesymmetric algebra is generated by $Q_{-x}, \quad x = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \ldots$ together with $Q_0^{\pm}$ rotating the components of these doublets. The energy momentum vector operator P_{-1} in the Z_3 FSA is just the trace of the following 3×3 matrix:

$$\mathbf{Q}^3 = Q_0^+ Q_{-2/3}^+ \Pi_1 + Q_{-1/3}^+ Q_0^+ \Pi_2 + Q_{-2/3}^+ Q_{-1/3}^+ \Pi_3,$$

where the Π_i 's are projectors on the states $|i\rangle, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$. This new result is different from the one used in the literature according to which $\mathbf{Q}^3 = \mathbf{Q}_{-1/3}\mathbf{Q}_{-1/3}\mathbf{Q}_{-1/3}$ is a C-operator. Furthermore the method based on parafermions we have been using in this study tells us also that fractional spin objects may be treated as anticommuting objects. The point is that all fractional spin objects involved in the game are valued in the gl(3) nilpotent subalgebra and more precisely their square is identically zero; see Eqs (29). This anticommuting feature is reconfirmed by the braiding property of conformal fields which shows that the field operators Ψ_{Δ_1} and Ψ_{Δ_2} of fractional spin such that $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 = 1$, should anticommute. In particular the correlation function $\langle \Psi_{-1/3}(z_1), \Psi_{-2/3}(z_2) \rangle$ is equal to $-\langle \Psi_{-2/3}(z_1), \Psi_{-1/3}(z_2) \rangle$;a fact which imply that one may build a local 2d quantum field theory invarint under fractional supersymmetry. In the end of discussion, we would like to note that as far as locality is concerned, the building of a local 2d fractional supersymmetric theory may be achieved by linearizing the cubic relation $\mathbf{Q}^3 = P_{-1}$. There are differents ways to perform this linearisation. A way to do it is as we have done in this study where Eqs (26) and (28) are remplaced by Eqs (35-39). Another way is as done in [8]where the nilpotency condition $\theta_{1/3}^3 = 0$, $\theta_{1/3}^2 \neq 0$, necessary for the construction of generalized superspace formalism is solved of two anticommuting Grassman variables $\theta_{1/3}^+$ and $\theta_{2/3}^-$; $\theta_{1/3}^{+2} = \theta_{2/3}^{-2} = 0$. Though this linearisation works, no explanation was given in [8]. In the present analysis this feature can be derived in a rigourous way. the analogue of the higher order nilpotency condition $\theta_{1/3}^3 = 0$, $\theta_{1/3}^2 \neq 0$ is trivially satisfied here since $\theta_{1/3}^{+2}\theta_{2/3}^+ = \theta_{1/3}^+\theta_{1/3}^{+2} = 0$.

Aknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr A.Elfallah and Dr J.Zerouaoui for stimulating discussions. This research work has been supported by the programm PARS under contrat 372-98 CNR.

References

[1] Leclair A and Vafa C 1993 Nucl. Phys. B **401** 413
(Leclair A and Vafa C C 1992 Preprint CLNS 92/1150, HUTP 92/A045)
Fateev V and Zamolodchikov A B, Nucl. Phys. B **280**644
Bais F, Bouwknecht P, Surridge M and Schoutens K 1988 Nucl. Phys. B **304**348
Bais F, Bouwknecht P, Surridge M and Schoutens K 1988 Nucl. Phys. B **304**371
Durand S, 1993 Phys. Lett B **312** 115
Durand S, 1993 Mod. Phys. Lett A **8**2323

[2] Bernard D and Leclair A 1990 Nucl. Phys. B340 721
C. Ahn, D. Bernard and A. Leclair, 1990 Nucl. Phys. B**346**409
Saidi E H, Sedra M B and Zerouaoui J, 1995 Class. Quantum Grav. **12**1567.
Mussardo G 1992 Phys Rep. **218** 216

[3] Perez A, Rausch de Traubenberg M and Simon P 1996 Nucl. Phys.B**482**325
(Perez A, Rausch de Traubenberg M and Simon P 1996 2D Fractional Supersymmetry for Rational Conformal Field Theory: Application for Third-Integer Spin States,preprint LPT-96-07, hep-th/9603139)
Kadiri A, Saidi E H, Sedra M B and Zerouaoui J, 1994 On exotic supersymmetries of the thermal deformation of minimal models preprint IC/94/216

[4] Elfallah A, Saidi E H and Zerouaoui J,1998 on finite dimentional fractional superalgebras Preprint UFR-HEP

[5] Zamolodchicov A B and Fateev V A , 1985 Sov. Phys. JETP**62** 215
Belavin A A, Polyakov A M, Zamolodchikov A B, 1984 Nucl. Phys. B**214** 333

[6] Freidan D, Qiu Z and Shenker S, 1985 Phys. Lett. B**151** 37
Bershadsky M A, Knizhnik V G and Teitelman M G, 1985 Phys. Lett. B**151**31

[7] Gepner D and Qiu Z, 1987 Nucl. Phys. B**285**423
Chakir H, Elfallah A and Saidi E H, 1995 Mod. Phys. Lett **38**2931

[8] Saidi E H, Sedra M B and Zerouaoui J , 1995 Class. Quantum. Grav.**12** 2705

[9] Sokatchev E and Stelle K S, 1987 Class. Quantum. Grav. **4**501
Lhallabi T and Saidi E H 1988 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **3** 187

[10] Elfallah A PhD Thesis LMPHE / 96 Kakushadze Z and Henry Tye S H, 1994 Phys Rev D**49** 4122

[11] Galperin A Ivanov E, Ogievetsky V and Sokatchev E 1985 Class. Quantum Grav.**2** 617

[12] Zumino B 1979 Phys. Lett. B**87** 203